

REMARKS:

Claims 24-30, 33, 35, 36, 41-45, 48-54, 56-63, 65-72, and 76-79 are pending in this application. Claims 24, 41, 48, 56, and 66-68 are amended in response to the Examiner's objections. Entry of these amendments is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 24, 41, 48, 56, and 66-68 stand objected to because of informalities. In particular, the Examiner objects to phrases including the words "usable" or "retrievable." *See* Final Office Action at 3.

Without conceding the propriety of these objections, Applicant has amended the claims to advance prosecution. Claim 24 now recites, for example, "wherein each of the plurality of tables is accessible using the generated unique time-based identifier," while claim 66 recites "wherein the electronic storage is configured to retrieve a given one of the succession of electronic documents upon its unique time-based identifier being presented to the electronic storage." These amendments are believed to address the Examiner's concerns, and similar amendments have been made to the other objected claims.

Accordingly, Applicant requests after-final entry of the amendments, as they are directed to a "requirement of form." *See* 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(b)(1). Applicant also respectfully requests withdrawal of all objections to the claims.

Section 103 Rejections To Be Appealed

As in the previous non-final Office Action, all pending claims remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irons et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0111960) in view of Vanko et al. (U.S. Patent 5,557,512) and in further view of Bennett (U.S. 5,615,367). Final Office Action at 4.

Applicant continues to respectfully disagree with these rejections for at least reasons already set forth. *See, e.g.,* Response of February 21, 2011. Further, because the claims have been twice rejected, *see* 37 C.F.R. § 41.31(a)(1), Applicant plans to file a Notice of Appeal after allowing the Examiner time to review the present amendments.

CONCLUSION:

Applicant respectfully submits the application is in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is requested.

If any extension of time (under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136) is necessary to prevent the above-referenced application from becoming abandoned, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension.

Although no fee is believed to be due as a result of this submission, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C. Deposit Account No. 501505/5957-72402/AAC.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 23, 2011

By: /Alex A. Courtade/
Alex A. Courtade
Reg. No. 65,635

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C.
P. O. Box 398
Austin, Texas 78767
(512) 853-8879